arXiv:1303.1870v1 [cs.IT] 8 Mar 2013

On Isodual Cyclic Codes over Finite Fields and Finite Chain Rings: Monomial Equivalence

Aicha Batoul, Kenza Guenda and T. Aaron Gulliver

March 11, 2013

Abstract

This paper present the construction cyclic isodual codes over finite fields and finite chain rings. These codes are monomially equivalent to their dual. Conditions are given for the existence of cyclic isodual codes. In addition, the concept of duadic codes over finite fields is extended to finite chain rings. Several constructions of isodual cyclic codes and self-dual codes are given.

1 Introduction

Two codes C and C' are called monomially equivalent if there exists a monomial permutation which send one to the other. A code which is equivalent to its dual is called an isodual code. For some parameters, one can prove that there are no cyclic self-dual codes over finite fields or finite chain rings, whereas isodual codes can exist. Isodual codes are important because they are related to lattices. Jia et al. [25, 26] recently constructed cyclic isodual codes using scalar transformations and multipliers. Mihoubi and Solé [29, 30] constructed monomial isodual codes over $\mathbb{F}_3$ and $\mathbb{F}_5$. Note that multiplier equivalence is a monomial equivalence, but the converse is not true in general. The purpose of this paper is to construct cyclic isodual codes over finite chain rings. We also give specific constructions over finite fields, since they are a special case of finite chain rings. We extend the concept of duadic codes over finite fields to finite chain rings. Several construction of isodual codes and self-dual codes are given. Note that duadic codes over finite fields codes form an important class of linear codes from both the theoretical and practical perspectives. They were first introduced by Leon et al. [33] as generalized quadratic residue cyclic codes over fields. Rushanan [44] generalized these codes to duadic abelian codes. Duadic codes over Z_4 were presented by Langevin et al. [32], and over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ by San Ling et al. [42], but the existence of duadic codes over general finite chain rings has not yet been determined.

2 Preliminaries

Recall that a block code C of length n is called a linear code over a finite fields $\mathbb{F}_q$ if it is subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$. If the alphabet is a ring R, then a linear code of length n is a submodule of R^n. A linear code C over $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be cyclic if it satisfies

$$(c_{n-1}, c_0, \ldots, c_{n-2}), \text{ whenever } (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}) \in C.$$

Here, all codes are assumed to be linear over either fields or rings.

We attach the standard inner product to the ambient space $\mathcal{A} \in \{R, \mathbb{F}_q\}$, i.e., $[v, w] = \sum v_i w_i$. The dual code $C^\perp$ of C is defined as

$$C^\perp = \{v \in \mathcal{A}^n \mid [v, w] = 0 \text{ for all } w \in C\}. \tag{1}$$

If $C \subseteq C^\perp$, the code is said to be self-orthogonal and if $C = C^\perp$, the code is self-dual. If the ring R is a Frobenius ring, then C and its dual satisfy the following

$$|C||C^\perp| = q^{en} = |R|^n, \text{ and } (C^\perp)^\perp = C. \tag{2}$$

For the remainder of the paper, the notation $q = \Box \bmod n$ means that q is a residue quadratic modulo n. For a prime power q and integer n such that $\gcd(q, n) = 1$, we denote by $ord_n(q)$ the multiplicative order of q modulo n. This is the smallest integer l such that $q^l \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

2.1 Cyclic and Duadic Codes over Finite Fields

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with q elements and α an $n-th$ root of unity in an extension field of F_q. Then a cyclic code over a field is an ideal of the ring $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^n - 1)$ generated by $g(x)$ and is uniquely determined by its defining set $T = \{0 \le i \le n; g(\alpha^i) = 0\}$. Let n be an integer such that $(n, q) = 1$ if $0 \le i \le n$. Then the cyclotomic coset of i (mod n) is the set

$$C(j) = \{jq^l \pmod{n} \,|\, l \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

It can be proven that the defining set of a cyclic code is the union of some cyclotomic cosets. Let a be an integer such that $(a, n) = 1$. The function μ_a defined on $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ by $\mu_a(i) \equiv ia \bmod n$ is a permutation of the coordinate positions $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ of a cyclic code of length n and is called a multiplier. Multipliers also act on the polynomials and give the following ring automorphism

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mu_a : \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^n - 1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^n - 1) \\ f(x) & \mapsto & \mu_a(f(x)) = f(x^a). \end{array} \tag{3}$$

Consider the multiplier μ_a on $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Let S_1 and S_2 be unions of cyclotomic cosets modulo n, such that $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, $S_1 \cup S_2 = Z_n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mu_a S_i \mod n =$

$S_{(i+1) \bmod 2}$. Then the triple μ_a, S_1, S_2 is called a splitting modulo n. The odd-like duadic codes D_1 and D_2 are the cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with defining sets S_1 and S_2 and generator polynomials $f_1(x) = \Pi_{i\in S_1}(x-\alpha^i)$ and $f_2(x) = \Pi_{i\in S_2}(x-\alpha^i)$, respectively. The even-like duadic codes C_1 and C_2 are the cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with defining sets $\{0\}\cup S_1$ and $\{0\}\cup S_2$, respectively.

Fact 2.1 *Let C_i and D_i be the even-like and odd-like duadic codes of length n over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then $C_i \subset C_i^{\perp} = D_i$ if and only if the splitting is given by μ_{-1}.*

Fact 2.2 *Let n be an odd integer and q a power of prime such that $q \equiv \Box \mod n$. Then μ_{-1} gives a splitting if and only if $ord_n(q)$ is odd.*

Fact 2.3 *If $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$, $q \equiv \Box \mod n$, and $p_i \equiv -1 \pmod 4$ for all $i \in \{1,\dots,s\}$, then the splitting modulo n is given by μ_{-1}. Furthermore, if at least one p_i is congruent to 1 modulo 4, then the splitting modulo n is not given by μ_{-1}.*

2.2 Cyclic Codes over Finite Chain Rings

In this section, we summarize the necessary results from [13, 21, 36]. A finite *chain ring* is a finite commutative ring R with $1 \neq 0$ such that its ideals are ordered by inclusion. The ring R is called a local ring if R has a unique maximal ideal. A finite commutative ring is a finite chain ring if and only if it is a local principal ideal ring [13, Proposition 2.1]. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of the finite chain ring R. Since R is principal, there exists a generator $\gamma \in R$ of $\mathfrak{m}$. Then γ is nilpotent with nilpotency index some integer e. The ideals of R form the following chain

$$<0> = \langle\gamma^e\rangle \subsetneq \langle\gamma^{e-1}\rangle \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \langle\gamma\rangle \subsetneq R.$$

The nilradical of R is then $\langle\gamma\rangle$, so all the elements of $\langle\gamma\rangle$ are nilpotent. Hence the elements of $R \setminus \langle\gamma\rangle$ are units. Since $\langle\gamma\rangle$ is a maximal ideal, the residue ring $\frac{R}{\langle\gamma\rangle}$ is a field which we denote by K. The natural surjective ring morphism is given by $\overline{(-)}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{-} : R &\longrightarrow K \\ a &\longmapsto \overline{a} = a \pmod{\gamma} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Let $|R|$ denote the cardinality of R, and R^* the multiplicative group of all units in R. We also have that if $|K| = q = p^r$ for some integer r, then

$$|R| = |K| \cdot |\langle\gamma\rangle| = |K| \cdot |K|^{e-1} = |K|^e = p^{er}. \tag{5}$$

We define the characteristic of the finite chain ring as the prime number p which is the characteristic of the residue field K of R. Note that this is not the usual definition of the characteristic of a ring.

For a polynomial $f(x)$ of degree r, let $f^{\star}(x)$ denote its reciprocal polynomial $x^r f(x^{-1})$. The following lemma is easy to obtain.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be two polynomials in $R[x]$ with $\deg f(x) \geq \deg g(x)$. Then the following holds.*

- *(i)* $[f(x)g(x)]^* = f(x)^* g(x)^*$.
- *(i)* $[f(x)+g(x)]^* = f(x)^* + x^{\deg f - \deg g} g(x)^*$.
- *(ii) If f is monic, then $\overline{f^*} = \overline{f}^*$.*

The following theorem gives the structure of a cyclic code and its dual over a finite chain ring.

Theorem 2.5 *([13]) Let R be a finite chain ring and C a cyclic code over $R[x]$ of length n such that $(n,p)=1$, where p is the characteristic of $\overline{R}$. Then there exists a unique family of pairwise coprime polynomials $F_0, \ldots, F_i$ in $R[x]$ such that $F_0 \ldots F_e = x^n - 1$ and*

$$C = \langle \hat{F}_1, \gamma \hat{F}_2, \ldots, \gamma^{e-1} \hat{F}_e \rangle, \text{ and } C^\perp = \langle \hat{F}_0^\star, \gamma \hat{F}_e^\star, \ldots, \gamma^{e-1} \hat{F}_2^\star \rangle,$$

where $\hat{F}_j = \frac{x^n-1}{F_j}$ for $0 < j \leq e$. Moreover, we have that the ring $R[x]/(x^n-1)$ is a principal ideal ring.

Two codes are called monomially equivalent if there exists a monomial permutation which sends one to another. MacWilliams [28] proved that there exists a monomial permutation between two codes over a finite field if and only if there exists a linear Hamming isometry. Wood [45] extended these results to codes over finite chain rings. Several weights can be defined over rings. A weight on a code C over a finite chain-ring is called homogeneous if it satisfies the following assertions

- (i) $\forall x \in C$, $\forall u \in R^* : w(x) = w(ux)$,
- (ii) there exists a constant $\xi = \xi(w) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sum w(x)_{x \in U} = \xi |U|,$$

where U is any subcode of C.

Honold and Nechaev [43] proved that for codes over a finite chain ring there exists a homogeneous weight. A linear morphism $f : R \longmapsto R$ is called a homogeneous isometry if it is a linear homomorphism which preserve the homogeneous weight.

Lemma 2.6 *([16]) Let R be a finite chain ring, C a linear code over R and $\phi : C \longmapsto R^n$ an embedding. Then the following are equivalent*

- *(i) ϕ is a homogeneous isometry,*
- *(ii) C and $\phi(C)$ are equivalent.*

Here whenever two codes are said to be equivalent it is meant that they are monomially equivalent.

3 Construction of Isodual Codes over Finite Fields

The purpose of this section is to construct cyclic isodual over finite fields from the duadic codes. While it has been proved [25, Theorem 2] that there is no multiplier isodual cyclic codes if the characteristic is odd, in this section we give explicit construction of monomial isodual cyclic codes for odd and even caracteristic. We start by giving the following Lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 *Let q be a prime power and n an odd integer. Then q has odd order modulo n if and only if q has odd order modulo each prime p dividing n*

Proof. Let p a prime which divides n. Then $ord_p q$ divides $ord_n q$. Hence if $ord_n q$ is odd then $ord_p q$ is also odd. The converse follows by noticing that if $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$. Then

$$ord_n q = lcm(ord_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} q, ord_{p_2^{\alpha_2}} q, \dots, ord_{p_s^{\alpha_s}} q).$$

Lemma 3.2 *Let q be a prime power and n an odd integer such that $(n, q) = 1$. Suppose that $ord_n q$ is odd. Then any f_i irreducible divisor of $x^n - 1$ in F_q verifies the following*

$$f_i \neq f_i^*.$$

Proof. Assume that f_i is a non trivial irreducible divisor of $x^n - 1$ and such that $f_i = f_i^*$. Hence the corresponding cyclotomic coset C_i is such that $C_i = C_{-i}$. Let $j \in C_i$ the smallest positive integer such that $q^j i \equiv -i \pmod{n}$. If l is the order of i in Z_n then l is odd and hence the order of q modulo l is $2j$. But this contradicts the assumption that q has odd order modulo n. ■

Proposition 3.3 *Let q be a prime power and n an odd integer. Then if $q = \square \bmod n$ and $ord_n(q)$ is odd then there exists a pair of odd like Duadic codes $D_1 = \langle g_1(x) \rangle$ and $D_2 = \langle g_2(x) \rangle$ given by the the splitting μ_{-1} and such that $g_1^*(x) = \epsilon g_2(x)$, where $\epsilon = \pm 1$.*

Proof. Suppose q has an odd order modulo n and let $(x - 1) f_1 f_2 \dots f_r$ the factorization of $(x^n - 1)$ into irreducibles polynomials over F_q. By Fact 2.2 μ_{-1} gives a splitting hence the existence of a pair of odd-like duadic codes $D_1 = \langle g_1 \rangle$ and $D_2 = \langle g_2 \rangle$ with $g_1 = f_1 \dots f_{r/2}$ and $g_2 = \langle f_{r/2} \dots f_r \rangle$. By Lemma 3.2 we have $f_i \neq f_i^*$ for all i in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $(x^n - 1)^* = 1 - x^n = f_1^* \dots f_r^* = -f_1 \dots f_r$. Hence we have $g_2 = \pm f_1^* \dots f_{r/2}^*$. Hence the result follows. ■

Corollary 3.4 *with the above assumptions and if $n = p^r$, q be a prime power such that $(p, q) = 1$ and $q \equiv \square \bmod p$, then:*

i) If $p \equiv -1(mod4)$ *we have* $g_i^* = \epsilon g_j$ $i, j \in \{1,2\}, i \neq j$

ii) If $p \equiv 1(mod4)$ *we have* $g_i^* = \epsilon g_i$, $i \in \{1,2\}$

With $\epsilon = \pm 1$ *in* $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Proof. If $q \equiv \square \bmod p$ and $p \equiv -1(mod4)$ then $ord_n q$ divide $\frac{p-1}{2}$ so $ord_n q$ must be odd, otherwise $p \equiv 1(mod4)$,thus by the Fact 2.2 and the proposition 3.3 we have the result. ■

Proposition 3.5 *Let* C *be a cyclic code of length* n *over the finite fields* F_q*, generated by the polynomial* $g(x)$*. Then the following holds*

(i)C *is equivalent to the cyclic code generated by* $g^*(x)$*.*

(ii) If n *is even, then* C *is equivalent to cyclic code generated by* $g(-x)$*.*

Proof. Let $a = -1$, since $(-1, n) = 1$ the multiplier:

$$\mu_{-1} : \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^n - 1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^n - 1), \;\; \mu_{-1}(f(x)) = f(x^{-1})$$

is a ring automorphism. Furthermore, μ_{-1} is a weight preserving linear transformation codes over finite fields . Indeed, let $c(x) \in C$ such that $c(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + \cdots + c_k x^k$. Then, $\mu_{-1}(c(x)) = c(x^{-1}) = x^{n-k}(c_k + c_{k-1}x + c_{k-2}x^2 + \cdots + c_0 x^k)$, then the Hamming weights, $wt(c(x))$ and $wt(\mu_{-1}(c(x)))$ are equals. So by MacWilliams [28], C and $\mu_{-1}(C)$ are monomially equivalent codes. Let $g(x)$,$g'(x)$ be the generator polynomial of C and $\mu_{-1}(C)$ respectively. Since μ_{-1} is a ring automorphism so C and $\mu_{-1}(C)$ have the same dimension thus the polynomials $g(x)$and $g'(x)$ have the same degree.

If $g(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_r x^r$ the reciprocal polynomial of $g(x)$ is the polynomial: $g^*(x) = x^r g(x^{-1}) = x^r(\mu_{-1}(g(x))) = a_r + a_{r-1}x + \cdots + a_0 x^r$. So $g^*(x) \in \mu_{-1}(C)$ then $g'(x)$ divide $g^*(x)$ and we have $(g(0) \neq 0)$ then $g^*(x)$ and $g(x)$ have the same degree then $g^*(x)$ and $g'(x)$ have also the same degree, so they generate the same cyclic code. We conclude that the cyclic code generated by $g(x)$ is equivalent to the cyclic code generated by $g^*(x)$.

Suppose now that $n = 2m$ and let

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mu: & \frac{F_q[x]}{x^{2m}-1} & \longrightarrow & \frac{F_q[x]}{x^{2m}-1} \\ & f(x) & \longmapsto & \mu(f(x)) = f(-x) \end{array}$$

For polynomial $f(x)$, $g(x) \in F_q[x]$

$$f(x) \equiv g(x) \, mod \, (x^{2m} - 1)$$

if and only if there exist a polynomial $h(x) \in F_q[x]$ such that

$$f(x) - g(x) \; = \; h(x) \, (x^{2m} - 1)$$

if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} f(-x) - g(-x) \quad &= h(-x)[(-x)^{2m} - 1] \\ &= h(-x)[(-1)^{2m}x^{2m} - 1] \\ &= h(-x)[x^{2m} - 1] \\ &= h(-x)[x^{2m} - 1] \end{aligned}$$

if and only if

$$f(-x) \equiv g(-x)\, mod\, (x^{2m} - 1)$$

that means for $f, g \in F_q[x]/(x^{2m} - 1)$

$$\mu(f(x)) = \mu(g(x))$$

if and only if

$$g(x) = f(x)$$

where μ is well defined and one-to-one. It is obvious that μ is onto and it is easy to verify that μ is a ring homomorphism. Therefore μ is a ring isomorphism. If $C = \langle g(x) \rangle$ then $\mu(C) = \langle g(-x) \rangle$

Furthermore, μ is a weight preserving linear transformation codes over finite fields. Indeed, let $c(x) \in C$ such that $c(x) = c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + \cdots + c_kx^k$,then, since $c_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow -c_i = 0$ we have that $\mu(c(x)) = c_0 - c_1x + c_2x^2 + \cdots + (-1)^k c_kx^k$, then the Hamming weights $wt(c(x))$ and $wt(\mu(c(x)))$, are equals. So by MacWilliams [28] C and $\mu(C)$ are monomially equivalent codes. ■

Theorem 3.6 *Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite fields with q a prime power, m an odd integer and f a polynomial such that $x^m - 1 = (x - 1)f(x)$. Then the cyclic codes of length $2m$ generated respectively by $(x - 1)f(-x)$ or $(x + 1)f(x)$ are isodual codes.*

Proof. Suppose that $x^m - 1 = (x - 1)f(x)$, then $x^m + 1 = (x + 1)f(-x)$ and

$$x^{2m} - 1 = (x^m - 1)(x^m + 1) = (x - 1)f(x)(x + 1)f(-x)$$

Let $g(x) = (x - 1)f(-x)$ the generator of a cyclic code C. The generator of its dual $C^\perp$ is

$$h^*(x) = (x + 1)f^*(x) = -g^*(-x)$$

Hence From Proposition 3.5 we obtain that the cyclic code $\langle g(x) \rangle$ is isodual . we obtain the same result for $g(x) = (x + 1)f(x)$ ■

Example 3.7 *Over* F_3 *we have* $x^7-1=(x+2)(x^6+x^5+x^4+x^3+x^2+x+1)$. *Then* $x^{14}-1=(x+2)(x^6+x^5+x^4+x^3+x^2+x+1)(x+1)(x^6-x^5+x^4-x^3+x^2-x+1)$. *So the cyclic codes generated by respectively*

$$(x+2)(x^6-x^5+x^4-x^3+x^2-x+1) \text{ or } (x+1)(x^6+x^5+x^4+x^3+x^2+x+1)$$

are isodual.

Theorem 3.8 *Let* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *be the finite fields with* q *elements. Suppose that there exist a pair of odd-like Duadic codes* $D_1=\langle f_1(x)\rangle$ *and* $D_2=\langle f_2(x)\rangle$ *of length* m *an odd integer. Then the following holds*

(i)The cyclic codes of length $2mp^s$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *generated by*

$$g_{ij}(x)=(x\pm 1)^{p^s}f_i^{p^s}(x)f_j^{p^s}(-x);\ i,j\in\{1,2\}\ ,i\neq j$$

is isodual.

(ii) If the splitting is given by μ_{-1} *then The cyclic codes of length* $2mp^s$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *generated by*

$$g_{ii}(x)=(x-1)^{p^s}f_i^{p^s}(x)f_i^{p^s}(-x); i\in\{1,2\}$$

is isodual.

(iii) If the splitting is not given by μ_{-1} *then the dual of the cyclic code of length* $2mp^s$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *generated by*

$$g_{ii}(x)=(x-1)^{p^s}f_i^{p^s}(x)f_i^{p^s}(-x); i\in\{1,2\}$$

is equivalent to the cyclic code generated by $g_{jj}(x)$, $j\in\{1,2\}$.

Proof. For the Part (i) we have the result by theorem 3.6.

Assume that the splitting is given by μ_{-1}.

Let $C_{ii}=\langle g_{ii}(x)\rangle$ $=\langle (x-1)^{p^s}f_i^{p^s}(x)f_i^{p^s}(-x)\rangle$. If the splitting is given by μ_{-1} then $f_1^*(x)=\epsilon f_2(x)$ and $f_2^*(x)=\epsilon f_1(x)$. Then we obtain $C_{ii}^{\perp}=\langle h_{ii}^*(x)\rangle=\langle (x+1)^{p^s*}(f_j^{p^s}(-x))^*f_j^{p^s*}(x)\rangle=\langle (x+1)^{p^s}(f_i^{p^s*}(-x))^*f_i^{p^s}(x)\rangle$. And then $C_{ii}^{\perp}=\langle \epsilon g_{ii}(-x)\rangle$. Hence From Proposition 3.5 we obtain $C_{ii}\simeq C_{ii}^{\perp}$.

If the splitting is not given by μ_{-1} then $f_1^{\star}(x)=\epsilon f_1(x)$ and $f_2^{\star}(x)=\epsilon f_2(x)$. Hence $C_{ii}^{\perp}=\langle h_{ii}^*(x)\rangle=\langle (x+1)^{p^s*}(f_j^{p^s}(-x))^*f_j^{p^s*}(x)\rangle=\langle (x+1)^{p^s}(f_j^{p^s*}(-x))^*f_j^{p^s}(x)\rangle=\langle (x+1)^{p^s}f_j^{p^s}(-x)f_j^{p^s}(x)=\langle \epsilon g_{jj}(-x)\rangle$ Hence From Proposition 3.5 we obtain $C_{jj}\simeq C_{ii}^{\perp}$. ■

Example 3.9 *Let* $q=3$ *and* $m=13$ *then* $3\equiv 16 \mod 13$ *then there exist a pair of duadic codes generated by* f_i $1\leq i\leq 2$. *then* $13\equiv 1 \mod 4$ *so there exist a splitting which is not given by* μ_{-1} *so we have*

$$x^m-1=(x-1)(x^3+2x+2)(x^3+x^2+x+2)(x^3+x^2+2)(x^3+2x^2+2x+2)=(x-1)u(x)u^*(x)v(x)v^*(x).$$

If $f_1(x)=u(x)v(x))$ *and* $f_2(x)=u^*(x)v^*(x))$*, we obtain* $f_i^*(x)=f_j(x)$*.*

If $f_1(x)=u(x)u^*(x))$ *and* $f_2(x)=v(x)v^*(x))$ *we obtain* $f_i^*(x)=f_i(x)$*. Then the cyclic code of length* 26 *over* F_3 *generated by* $g(x)=(x-1)f_i(x)f_j(-x)$ *(*$i\neq j$*) is an isodual code.*

If $f_1(x)=u(x)v(x))$ *and* $f_2(x)=u^*(x)v^\star(x))$*, we obtain* $f_i^*(x)=f_j(x)$*. Then the cyclic code of length* 26 *over* F_3 *generated by* $g(x)=(x-1)f_i(x)f_i(-x)$ *is isodual.*

Example 3.10 *For* $q=5$ *and* $m=11$ *we have* $5\equiv 16 \mod 11$*. Then there exist a pair of duadic codes generated by* f_i $1\leq i\leq 2$*. Since* $11\equiv -1 \mod 4$ *then all splitting are given by* μ_{-1} *we have*

$$(x^{11}-1)\ =\ (x-1)(x^5+2x^4+4x^3+x^2+x+4)(x^5+4x^4+4x^3+x^2+3x+4)$$

so $(x^{11}-1)=(x-1)f_1(x)f_2(x)=-(x-1)f_1(x)f_1^\star(x)$ *since all splitting are given by* μ_{-1}*. Then cyclic codes of length* 22 *over* F_5 *generated by* $(x-1)f_i(x)f_j(-x)$ *and* $(x-1)f_i(x)f_i(-x)$ *are isodual.*

Example 3.11 *For* $q=7$ *and* $m=9$ *we have* $7\equiv 1 \mod 3$*. Then there exist a pair of duadic codes generated by* f_i $1\leq i\leq 2$*. Since* $3\equiv -1 \mod 4$ *then all splitting are given by* μ_{-1} $(x^9-1)=(x-1)(x+3)(x+5)(x^3+3)(x^3+5)$ *we have* $f_1(x)=(x+3)(x^3+3)$ *and* $f_2(x)=(x+5)(x^3+5)$ *so* $(x^9-1)=(x-1)f_1(x)f_2(x)=(x-1)f_1(x)f_1^\star(x)$ *since all splitting are given by* μ_{-1}*. Then cyclic codes of length* 18 *over* F_7 *generated by* $(x-1)f_i(x)f_j(-x)$ *and* $(x-1)f_i(x)f_i(-x)$ *are isodual.*

Lemma 3.12 *([22]) Let* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *be a finite field with* q *elements. Then* $-1\equiv\square \mod q$ *if and only if* $q\equiv 1 \mod 4$ *if and only if* $p\equiv 1 \mod 4$*,* r *any integer, or* $p\equiv 3 \mod 4$ *and* r *even.*

Theorem 3.13 *Let* $\mathbb{F}_q$ *be a finite field with* q *an odd prime power such that* $q\equiv 1 \mod 4$*. Then* $-1\equiv\square \mod q$*. Let* $\gamma^2=-1$ *in* $\mathbb{F}_q$*,* m *an odd integer and* f *a polynomial such that* $x^m-1=(x-1)f(x)$*. Then if* $f(-x)=f(x)$ *the cyclic codes of length* $4m$ *generated respectively by* $(x^2-1)f(x)f(\gamma x)$ *or* $(x^2+1)f(-x)f(-\gamma x)$ *are isodual codes of length* $4mp^s$ *over* $\mathbb{F}_q$*.*

Proof. Suppose that $x^m-1=(x-1)f(x)$, then $x^m+1=(x+1)f(-x)$;

$$x^{4m}-1=(x^m-1)(x^m+1)(x^m+\gamma)(x^m-\gamma)=(x-1)f(x)(x+1)f(-x)(x+\gamma)f(-\gamma x)(x-\gamma)f(\gamma x)$$

Let $g(x)=(x^2-1)f(x)f(\gamma)$ the generator of a cyclic code C. The generator of its dual $C^\perp$ is

$$h^*(x)=(x^2+1)f^*(-x)f^*(-\gamma x)=-g^*(-\gamma x)$$

Hence From Proposition 3.5 we obtain that the cyclic code $\langle g(x)\rangle$ is isodual . we obtain the same result for $g(x)=(x^2+1)f(-x)f(-\gamma x)$ ■

Theorem 3.14 *Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite fields with q a prime power such that $q\equiv 1 \bmod 4$. Suppose that there exist a pair of odd-like Duadic codes $D_1=\langle f_1(x)\rangle$ and $D_2=\langle f_2(x)\rangle$ of length m an odd integer. Then the following holds*

(i)If we suppose that $f_i(-x)=f_i(x)$, $i\in\{1,2\}$.The cyclic codes C_{ij} and C'_{ij}, of length $4mp^s$ generated respectively by

$$(x^2-1)^{p^s}f_i^{p^s}(x)f_j^{p^s}(-x)f_i^{p^s}(\gamma x)f_j^{p^s}(-\gamma x)\rangle,\ \ and\ (x^2+1)^{p^s}f_i^{p^s}(x)f_j^{p^s}(-x)f_i^{p^s}(\gamma x)f_j^{p^s}(-\gamma x),$$

with $\gamma^2=-1$ in $\mathbb{F}_q$ are isodual codes.

(ii) If the splitting is given by μ_{-1}. Then the cyclic codes of length $4mp^s$ over F_q generated by

$$g_{ii}(x)=(x^2-1)^{p^s}f_i^{p^s}(x)f_i^{p^s}(-x)f_i^{p^s}(\gamma x)f_i^{p^s}(-\gamma x); i\in\{1,2\}$$

is isodual.

(iii) If the splitting is not given by μ_{-1} then the dual of the cyclic code of length $4mp^s$ over F_q generated by

$$g_{ii}(x)=(x^2-1)^{p^s}f_i^{p^s}(x)f_i^{p^s}(-x)f_i^{p^s}(\gamma x)f_i^{p^s}(-\gamma x); i\in\{1,2\}$$

is equivalent to the cyclic code generated by $g_{jj}(x)$, $j\in\{1,2\}$, with $i\neq j$. Where $\gamma^2=-1$ in $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Proof. Assume that the splitting is given by μ_{-1}. Let

$$C_{ii}=\langle g_{ii}(x)\rangle=\langle (x^2-1)^{p^s}f_i^{p^s}(x)f_i^{p^s}(-x)f_i^{p^s}(\gamma x)f_i^{p^s}(-\gamma x)\rangle,$$

then $f_1^*(x)=\epsilon f_2(x)$ and $f_2^*(x)=\epsilon f_1(x)$. Hence we obtain
$C_{ii}^{\perp}=\langle h_{ii}^*(x)\rangle$
$=\langle (x^2+1)^{p^s*}(f_j^{p^s}(-x))^*f_j^{p^s*}(x)(f_j^{p^s}(-\gamma x))^*f_j^{p^s*}(\gamma x)\rangle$
$=\langle (x^2+1)^{p^s}(f_i^{p^s*}(-x))^*f_i^{p^s}(x)(f_i^{p^s*}(-\gamma x))^*f_i^{p^s}(\gamma x)\rangle$.
And then $C_{ii}^{\perp}=\langle \epsilon g_{ii}(-x)\rangle$. we obtain $C_{ii}\simeq C_{ii}^{\perp}$.

If the splitting is not given by μ_{-1}. Then $f_1^{\star}(x)=\epsilon f_1(x)$ and $f_2^{\star}(x)=\epsilon f_2(x)$. Hence
$C_{ii}^{\perp}=\langle h_{ii}^*(x)\rangle$
$=\langle (x^2+1)^{p^s*}(f_j^{p^s}(-x))^*f_j^{p^s*}(x)(f_j^{p^s}(-\gamma x))^*f_j^{p^s*}(\gamma x)\rangle=\langle (x^2+1)^{p^s}(f_j^{p^s*}(-x))^*f_j^{p^s}(x)(f_j^{p^s*}(-\gamma x))^*f_j^{p^s}(\gamma x)\rangle$
$=\langle (x^2+1)^{p^s}f_j^{p^s}(-x)f_j^{p^s}(x)f_j^{p^s}(-\gamma x)f_j^{p^s}(\gamma x)\rangle=\langle \epsilon g_{jj}(x)\rangle$
Hence we obtain $C_{jj}\simeq C_{ii}^{\perp}$. ■

3.1 Construction of Self-dual and Isodual Codes over $\mathbb{F}_{2^r}$

let $q = 2^r$ and $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$. Then $2^r \equiv \square \mod m$ if r is even and $2^r \equiv 2 \mod m$ if r is odd. Hence if r is even there exist duadic codes of length for all m and if r is odd duadic codes exist if and only if $p_i \equiv \pm 1 \, mod \, 8$, $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots s\}$

Theorem 3.15 *Let $n = 2^a m$ with m an odd integer such that $2^r \equiv \square \mod m$ and $D_i = \langle f_i(x) \rangle$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$ a pair of duadic codes over $\mathbb{F}_{2^r}$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq 2$ the cyclic codes generated by*

$$g_i(x) = (x-1)^{2^{a-1}} f_i^{2^a}(x),$$

are self-dual or isodual.

Let f_i, $i \in \{1, 2\}$ be the generators of the pair of duadic codes over $\mathbb{F}_{2^r}$of length m. Let $n = 2^a m$ then we have the following decomposition $x^n - 1 = x^{2^a m} - 1 = (x^m - 1)^{2^a} = (x-1)^{2^a} f_1^{2^a}(x) f_2^{2^a}(x)$.

Let $g_i(x) = (x-1)^{2^{a-1}} f_i^{2^a}(x)$ and $C_i = \langle g_i(x) \rangle$. Then if $f_i^*(x) = \epsilon f_j(x)$ for $i \neq j$. We obtain $C_i^\perp = \langle g_i^*(x) \rangle$
$= \langle ((x-1)^{2^{a-1}})^* (f_j^{2^a}(x))^* \rangle$
$= \langle \epsilon((x-1)^{*2^{a-1}})(f_j^{*2^a}(x)) \rangle$
$= \langle \epsilon(x-1)^{2^{a-1}} f_i^{2^a}(x) \rangle = C_i$. So C_i is self-dual.

If $f_i^*(x) = \epsilon f_i(x)$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then $C_i^\perp = \langle g_i^*(x) \rangle$
$= \langle ((x-1)^{2^{a-1}})^* (f_j^{2^a}(x))^* \rangle$
$= \langle \epsilon((x-1)^{*2^{a-1}})(f_j^{*2^a}(x)) \rangle$
$= \langle \epsilon(x-1)^{2^{a-1}} f_j^{2^a}(x) \rangle$
$= C_j = \langle \epsilon(x-1)^{2^{a-1}} (f_i^{*2^a}(x)) \rangle \simeq C_i$ So C_i is isodual .

Example 3.16 *Consider the case $n = 14$, $q = 2$ we have $m = 7$ and $7 \equiv -1 \, mod \, (8)$. Hence there exists duadic codes of length 7 over $\mathbb{F}_2$. The factorization of $x^{14} - 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$ is $(x-1)^2 (x^3 + x + 1)^2 (x^3 + x^2 + 1)^2$. Since $7 \equiv -1 \, mod \, (4)$, so $(x^3 + x + 1)$ is the reciprocal polynomial of $(x^3 + x^2 + 1)$. The polynomial $x^7 - 1$ generate the trivial self-dual code of length 14 over $\mathbb{F}_2$ and the codes*

$$C_1 = \langle (x-1)(x^3 + x + 1) \rangle,$$

$$C_2 = \langle (x-1)(x^3 + x^2 + 1) \rangle$$

are self-dual cyclic codes of length 14 over $\mathbb{F}_2$

Theorem 3.17 *Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite fields and $n = mp^s \neq 2$ an integer such that $ord_m q = m - 1$ then there is no nontrivial isodual cyclic codes of length n over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Furthermore m is a prime number.*

Proof.

If $ord_m q = m-1$ so there is only two cyclotomic classes modulo m, C_0 and C_1 of course C_1 must be reversible cyclotomic class then $x^m - 1 = (x-1)f(x)$ and

$$x^n - 1 = (x-1)^{p^s} f^{p^s}(x)$$

where $deg f(x) = |C_1| = ord_m q = m-1$

i) If $n = 2$ and q odd, $x^2 - 1 = (x-1)(x+1)$, we have two isoduals codes generated respectively by $(x-1)$ and $(x+1)$.

ii) If $n > 2$ and q is odd, so for any cyclic code over F_q of length n Let $g(x) = (x-1)^{p^i} f^{p^j}(x)$, $0 \leq i, j \leq p^s$,if we suppose that $C$is isodual then dim $C =$ dim $C^{\perp}$ then degree of $g(x)$ is equal to the degree of $h^*(x) = (x-1)^{n-p^i} f^{n-p^j}(x)$ where $h(x) = \frac{x^n-1}{f(x)}$ then $n - p^i = p^i$ and $n - p^j = p^j$ so n must be even but if n is even m must be even too, since $x^m - 1 = (x^{\frac{m}{2}} - 1)(x^{\frac{m}{2}} + 1)$ so there is more than two cyclotomic classes modulo m.

iii) If q is even then

$$x^n - 1 = (x-1)^{2^s} f^{2^s}$$

,$n - 2^i = 2^i$ and $n - 2^j = 2^j$ so $i = j = 2^{s-1}$ $g(x) = (x^m - 1)^{2^{s-1}} = (x^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1)$ and $\langle g(x) \rangle$ is the trivial self dual code over $\mathbb{F}_{2^s}$.

iv) By [5] the number of all q cyclotomic classes modulo m with $(m, q) = 1$ is equal to

$$C_q(m) = \sum_{l|m} \frac{\Phi(l)}{ord_l(q)},$$

where $\Phi(.)$ is the Euler totient function.

With our assumption we have $C_q(m) = \sum_{l|n} \frac{\Phi(l)}{ord_l(q)} = 2 = 1 + \frac{\Phi(m)}{ord_m(q)}$ then $\frac{\Phi(m)}{m-1} = 1$. So $\Phi(m) = m - 1$. Thus m must be a prime.

■

4 Duadic Codes over Finite Chain Rings

In this section R is a finite chain ring, with maximal ideal $\langle \gamma \rangle$ nilpotency index e and residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $q = p^r$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let n be an odd integer such that $(p, n) = 1$ and $q \equiv \Box mod(n)$. Then there exists a pair of monic factors of $x^n - 1$, $g_i(x)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that*

$$x^n - 1 = (x - 1)g_1(x)g_2(x)$$

in $R[x]$.

Proof. Let n be an odd integer such that $(p, n) = 1$ and $q \equiv \Box mod(n)$ then there exists a pair of odd-like duadic codes over F_q, generated respectively by $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)\rangle$ which verifies $x^n - 1 = (x - 1)f_1(x)f_2(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Since $x - 1$, $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are monic coprimes factors of $x^n - 1$ over F_q. Then by Hensel Lemma there exists unique monic pairwise coprime polynomials $x - a$, $g_1(x)$, $g_2(x)$ factors of $x^n - 1$ in $R[x]$, such that $x - \bar{a} = x - 1$, $\bar{g_1}(x) = f_1(x)$ and $\bar{g_2}(x) = f_2(x)$. This gives that $x^n - 1 = (x - a)g_1(x)g_2(x)$ in $R[x]$.
Substituting $x = 1$ into the above equation we obtain

$$(1 - a)g_1(1)g_2(1) = 0.$$

Since $(n, q) = 1$ hence $x^n - 1$ is with simple roots. Then $\bar{g_1}(1) = f_1(1) \neq 0$ and $\bar{g_2}(1) = f_2(1) \neq 0$. This gives that $g_1(1), g_2(1)$ are both invertible elements of R. Therefor $a = 1$ and then in $R[x]$ the following holds

$$x^n - 1 = (x - 1)g_1(x)g_2(x).$$

■

Definition 4.2 *Let n be an odd integer such that $(p, n) = 1$ and $q \equiv \Box \bmod (n)$. Let g_i, $i \in \{1, 2\}$ be the lifted polynomials of f_i, where f_i are the generator of the duadic codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then we define the following cyclic codes over R*

(i) The free odd-like duadic codes over R are $D'_1 = \langle g_1(x)\rangle$ and $D'_2 = \langle g_2(x)\rangle$;

(ii) The free even-like duadic codes over R are $C'_1 = \langle (x-1)g_1(x)\rangle$ and $C'_2 = \langle (x-1)g_2(x)\rangle$

(iii) If e is even the non free duadic codes over R are

$$E_1 = \langle (x - 1)g_1(x), \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}} g_1(x)g_2(x)\rangle,$$

and

$$E_2 = \langle (x - 1)g_2(x), \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}} g_1(x)g_2(x)\rangle.$$

Proposition 4.3 *Let D'_i, and C'_i $i \in \{1, 2\}$ be the codes given by definition 4.2*

i) If the splitting is given by μ_{-1}, then $D_1'^{\perp} = C'_1$ and $D_2'^{\perp} = C'_2$

ii) If the splitting is not given by μ_{-1}, then $D_1'^{\perp} = C'_2$ and $D_2'^{\perp} = C'_1$

Corollary 4.4 *With the above assumptions , if the splitting is given by μ_{-1} then C_1' and C_2' are self-orthogonal codes over R.*

Proposition 4.5 *with the above assumptions, $D_1' = \langle g_1(x) \rangle$ and $D_2' = \langle g_2(x) \rangle$ are equivalents cyclic codes over R.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}$ be the splitting field of $x^n - 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $s = ord_n q$, let $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ be a primitive irreducible polynomial of degree s then since $(q^s - 1, q) = 1$, there exists a unique basic irreducible polynomial $g(x) \in R[x]$ such that $\overline{g}(x) = f(x)$. Consider the Galois extension of R denoted by $S \cong \frac{R[x]}{g(x)}$ by [27] this extension have a primitive element ξ witch is a root of $g(x)$ such that $\bar{\xi}$ is a root of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}$, thus any element $u \in S$ can be written as

$$u = a_0 + a_1\xi + a_2\xi^2 + \cdots + a_{s-1}\xi^{s-1}$$

where $a_i \in R$ the map $\sigma : S \longrightarrow S; \sigma(\xi) = \xi^q$ is the generator of $G_R(S)$ the Galois group of S over R which is isomorph to $G_{F_q}(F_{q^s})$ the Galois group of $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Since $G_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^s})$ is a cyclic group, the elements of R are fixed by σ and all its power. Let $\beta = \xi^{\frac{q^s-1}{n}}$,hence the Galois extension S contains a primitive n-th root of unity. Let

$$\tilde{g_i}(x) = \Pi_{i \in S_i}(x - \beta^i)$$

, $i \in \{1, 2\}$

We have that $\sigma(\tilde{g_i}(x)) = \tilde{g_i}(x)$, $\tilde{g_i}(x)$ have coefficients from R.
Further $\overline{\tilde{g_i}(x)} = f_i(x) = \overline{g_i}(x)$ and since $g_i(x)$ are unique so

$\tilde{g_i}(x) = g_i(x)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, Let a be an integer such that $(a, n) = 1$. The multiplier.

$$\mu_a : R[x]/(x^n - 1) \longrightarrow R[x]/(x^n - 1), \mu_a(f(x)) = f(x^a)$$

is a ring automorphism preserving the weight. we have $\mu_a(g_1(x)) = g_2(x)$ and $\mu_a(g_2(x)) = g_1(x)$. So by [45] D_1' and D_2' are equivalents cyclic codes over R. ■

Lemma 4.6 *Let G be a generator matrix of C_1' (or C_2' respectively).*

Then the following holds

(i)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\,1\ldots1 \\ G \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

is a generator matrix of D_1' (or D_2' respectively) *ii)*

$$\begin{pmatrix} G \\ \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}}\,\gamma^{\frac{e}{2}}\ldots\gamma^{\frac{e}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

is a generator matrix of E_1 (or E_2 respectively).

Proof. (i) We know that D_1' and C_1' are cyclic codes of length n over R with generator polynomials seen above $g_1(x)$ and $(x-1)g_1(x)$ respectively. Since $(x-1)$, $g_1(x)$ and $g_2(x)$ are pairwise coprime over R. Then there are polynomials $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ in $R[x]$ such that

$$a(x)g_2(x)g_1(x) + b(x)(x-1)g_1(x) = g_1(x).$$

So

$$a(x)(x^{n-1} + x^{n-2} + \cdots + x + 1) + b(x)(x-1)g_1(x) = g_1(x),$$

it follows that the matrix (6) is a generator matrix of D_1'.

For the (ii) Part we first prove that $\langle\gamma^{\frac{e}{2}}\rangle \nsubseteq C_1'$ where C_1' is the R-cyclic code of length n generated by $(x-1)g_1(x)$. The word $\gamma^{\frac{e}{2}}(1^n)$ can be expressed as the polynomial $\gamma^{\frac{e}{2}} + \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}}x + \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}}x^2 + \cdots + \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}}x^{n-1}$. Substituting $x = 1$ into this polynomial,we obtain $n\gamma^{\frac{e}{2}} \neq 0$ since the characteristic of R is prime to n. Therefore $\gamma^{\frac{e}{2}} + \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}}x + \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}}x^2 + \cdots + \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}}x^{n-1}$ is not a multiple of $x-1$. Hence $\langle\gamma^{\frac{e}{2}}\rangle \nsubseteq C_1'$. it follows that E_1 has generator matrix (7), where G is a generator matrix of C_1'. A similar result holds for E_2, with G a generator matrix of C_2'. ■

Remark 4.7 *Since D_1' and D_2' are monomially equivalent codes. Hence we conclude by Proposition 4.5 that E_1 and E_2 are also monomially equivalent cyclic codes.*

Theorem 4.8 *With the previous notation the following hold*

(i) If the splitting is given by μ_{-1} then E_1, E_2 and $E_1 \oplus E_2$ are self-dual.

(ii) If the splitting is left invariant by μ_{-1} then E_1 and E_2 are isodual cyclic codes over R.

Proof. Let $f_i, i \in \{1,2\}$ be the generator polynomial of the odd-like duadic codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of length n. Then we have $x^n - 1 = (x-1)f_1(x)f_2(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. If the splitting is given by μ_{-1} then $f_1^\star(x) = \epsilon f_2(x)$ and $f_2^\star(x) = \epsilon f_1(x)$. Hence by Lemma 2.4 their lifts have the same proprieties then we obtain

$$g_1^\star(x) = \alpha g_2(x) \text{ and } g_2^\star(x) = \alpha g_1(x),$$

with α a unit in R such that $\bar{\alpha} = \epsilon$. So for

$$E_1 = \langle (x-1)g_1(x), \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}} g_1(x)g_2(x)\rangle$$

then by Theorem 2.5 we obtain that

$$E_1^{\perp} = \langle (x-1)^{\star} g_2^{\star}(x), \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}} g_1^{\star}(x) g_2^{\star}(x) \rangle = \langle (x-1) g_1(x), \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}} g_1(x) g_2(x) \rangle.$$

This gives that E_1 is self dual with the same proof we obtain that E_2 is also self dual code over R. Since $(E_1 \oplus E_2)^{\perp} = E_1^{\perp} \oplus E_2^{\perp} = E_1 \oplus E_2$. Hence $E_1 \oplus E_2$ is self-dual.

If the splitting is not given by μ_{-1}. Then $f_1^{\star}(x) = \epsilon f_1(x)$ and $f_2^{\star}(x) = \epsilon f_2(x)$ Hence by Lemma 2.4 their lifts have the same proprieties then we obtain $g_1^{\star}(x) = \alpha g_1(x)$ and $g_2^{\star}(x) = \beta g_2(x)$. where α and β are units in R. So for $E_1 = \langle (x-1) g_1(x), \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}} g_1(x) g_2(x) \rangle$ then by Theorem 2.5 we obtain that $E_1^{\perp} = \langle (x-1)^{\star} g_2^{\star}(x), \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}} g_1^{\star}(x) g_2^{\star}(x) \rangle =\langle (x-1) g_2(x), \gamma^{\frac{e}{2}} g_1(x) g_2(x) \rangle = E_2$. Then E_1 and E_2 are dual of each other over R. Since they are monomially equivalents hence they are isodual cyclic codes over R. ■

5 Construction of Some Free Isoduals Codes over Finite Chain Rings

Let n be an integer such that $(n, q) = 1$, so $R[x]/(x^n - 1)$ is principal ideal ring. Since also the free cyclic codes over R are generated by the factors of $x^n - 1$ [21]. Hence by a similar proof such as Proposition 3.5 we obtain the following Proposition.

Proposition 5.1 *The cyclic code C generated by $f(x)$ is equivalent to:*

(i) The cyclic code generated by $f(-x)$ if n is even and q odd.

(ii) The cyclic code generated by $f^*(x)$.

Theorem 5.2 *Let m be an odd integer such that $(p, m) = 1$ and $q \equiv \square \bmod (m)$. Let g_i, $i \in \{1, 2\}$ be the lifted polynomials of f_i, where f_i are the generator of the duadic codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, $i \neq j$ the cyclic codes of over R, F_{ij} and F'_{ij} generated respectively by*

$$g_{ij}(x) = (x-1) g_i(x) g_j(-x) \text{ and } g'_{ij}(x) = (x+1) g_i(x) g_j(-x)$$

are isodual cyclic codes over R.

Proof. Let m be an odd integer such that $(p, m) = 1$ and $q \equiv \square mod(m)$ then there exists a pair of odd-like duadic codes over F_q, $\langle f_1(x) \rangle$ and $\langle f_2(x) \rangle$ where $x^m - 1 = (x-1) f_1(x) f_2(x)$ over F_q. Let $x - 1$, $g_1(x)$, $g_2(x)$ be the lifts of respectively $(x-1)$, $f_1(x)$and$f_2(x)$. Then $x^m - 1 = (x-1) g_1(x) g_2(x)$ in $R[x]$. Then $x^{2m} - 1 = (x^m - 1)(x^m + 1) = (x-1) g_1(x) g_2(x)(x+1) g_1(-x) g_2(-x)$.

If $p_i \equiv -1 \, mod \, 4$ $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots s\}$. Then all splitting are given by μ_{-1}, so $f_i^{\star}(x) = \epsilon f_j(x)$. This gives that $g_i^{\star}(x) = \alpha g_j(x)$ with α a unit in R. Hence the dual of F_{ij} is the code

$F_{ij}^{\perp} = \langle h_{ij}^{\star}(x)\rangle = \langle (x+1)^{\star} g_j^{\star}(x)(g_i(-x))^{\star}\rangle = \langle \beta(x+1)g_j(-x)g_i(x) = \langle -\beta g^{\star}(-x)\rangle$. Hence we have $F_{ij} \simeq F_{ij}^{\perp}$.

If there is at least one p_i such that $p_i \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, then there exists a splitting witch is not given by μ_{-1} so we have $f_i^{\star}(x) = \epsilon f_j(x)$,$i \neq j$ or $f_i^{\star}(x) = \epsilon f_i(x)$. If $f_i^{\star}(x) = \epsilon f_i(x)$ then $g_i^{\star}(x) = \beta g_i(x)$

$F_{ij}^{\perp} = \langle h_{ij}^{\star}(x)\rangle = \langle (x+1)^{\star} g_j^{\star}(x)(g_i(-x))^{\star}\rangle = \langle \beta(x+1)g_j(x)g_i(-x) = \langle \beta g(-x)\rangle$ so $F_{ij} \simeq F_{ij}^{\perp}$

and in the two cases we obtain $F_{ij}^{\perp} = \langle \beta g(-x)\rangle$ or $\langle g^{\star}(-x)\rangle$ where β is a unit in R. With the same proof we obtain the result for F'_{ij}. ■

Theorem 5.3 *let R be a finite chain ring with residue field F_q, suppose there exist a pair of odd-like Duadic codes $D_i = \langle f_i(x)\rangle$ of length m. Then if the splitting is given by μ_{-1} then the cyclic codes of length $2m$ over R generated by*

$$g_{ii}(x) = (x-1)g_i(x)g_i(-x), \text{ respectively, } g'_{ii}(x) = (x+1)g_i(x)g_i(-x),$$

for $i \in \{1,2\}$ are isodual where $g_i(x)$ for $i \in \{1,2\}$ are respectively the lift of $f_i(x)$.

Proof. Let $F_{ii} = \langle g_{ii}(x)\rangle = \langle (x-1)g_i(x)g_i(-x)\rangle$ if the splitting is given by μ_{-1} then $f_1^{\star}(x) = \epsilon f_2(x)$ and $f_2^{\star}(x) = \epsilon f_1(x)$. Then by Lemma 2.4 $g_1^{\star}(x) = \beta g_2(x)$ and $g_2^{\star}(x) = \alpha g_1(x)$. Then $F_{ii}^{\perp} = \langle h_{ii}^{\star}(x)\rangle = \langle (x+1)^{\star} g_j^{\star}(x)(g_j(-x))^{\star}\rangle = \langle (x+1)g_i(x)g_i(-x) = \langle \beta g_{ii}(-x)\rangle$ with α and β are units in R. so $F_{ii} \simeq F_{ii}^{\perp}$. We use the same proof for codes generated by $g_{ii}(x) = (x+1)g_i(x)g_i(-x)$. ■

Example 5.4 *let $p = 3$ and $n = 13$ and $r = 2$ we have $ord_{13}(3) = 3$*

the 3-cyclotomic cossets over $\mathbb{Z}_9$ are : $C_0 = \{0\}$, $C_1 = \{1,3,9\}$,$C_2 = \{2,6,5\}$,$C_4 = \{4,12,10\}$ and $C_7 = \{11,7,8\}$ let β be a primitive n-th root of unity in $GR(9,3)$ so

$$x^{13} - 1 = M_{\beta^0}(x)M_{\beta}(x)M_{\beta^2}(x)M_{\beta^4}(x)M_{\beta^7}(x)$$

with

- $M_{\beta^0}(x) = (x-\alpha^0) = (x-1)$
- $M_{\beta}(x) = \prod_{i\in C_1}(x-\beta^i) = x^3 + 6x^2 + 2x + 8$
- $M_{\beta^2}(x) = \prod_{i\in C_2}(x-\beta^i) = x^3 + 7x^2 + 3x + 8$
- $M_{\beta^4}(x) = \prod_{i\in C_4}(x-\beta^i) = x^3 + 4x^2 + 7x + 8$
- $M_{\beta^7}(x) = \prod_{i\in C_7}(x-\beta^i) = x^3 + 2x^2 + 5x + 8$

we have $(M_{\beta}(x))^{\star} = -M_{\beta^4}(x)$
and $(M_{\beta^2}(x))^{\star} = -M_{\beta^7}(x)$
so if we take $S_1 = C_1 \cup C_2$ *and* $S_2 = C_4 \cup C_7$ *we have* $\mu_{-1}(S_1) = S_2$ *and* $D_i^{(2)} = (g_i^{(2)}(x))$ *for* $i \in \{1, 2\}$ *are a pair of odd-like duadic codes with*

- $g_1^{(2)}(x) = (x^3 + 6x^2 + 2x + 8)(x^3 + 4x^2 + 7x + 8)$
- $g_2^{(2)}(x) = (x^3 + 7x^2 + 3x + 8)(x^3 + 2x^2 + 5x + 8)$

then $(g_1^{(2)}(x))^{\star} = g_2^{(2)}(x)$
and $x^n - 1 = (x-1)g_1^{(2)}(x)(g_2^{(2)}(x))^{\star}$

References

[1] T. Abualrub and R. Oehmke, On the generators of $\mathbb{Z}_4$ cyclic codes of length 2^e, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 49, no. 9, Sept. 2003, 2126–2133.

[2] S. A. Aly, A. Klappenecker and P. K. Sarvepalli, Remarkable degenerate quantum stabilizer codes derived from duadic codes, Proc. IEEE Intern. Sym. Inform. Theory, Seattle, USA, 1114-1118, 2006.

[3] E. Bannai, S. T. Dougherty, M. Harada and M. Oura, Type II codes, even unimodular lattices and invariant rings, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 45(4), May 1999.

[4] M.H.M.Smid,Duadic codes ,IEEE.Trans.Inform. Theory,29(2),1983.

[5] A. Batoul, K. Guenda, and T. A. Gulliver, “On self-dual cyclic codes over finite chain rings,”Available online. Des. Codes Cryptogr 2012, DOI: 10.1007/s10623-012-9696-0Online First

[6] T. Blackford, Cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ of oddly even length, Appl. Discr. Math., 128, 27-46, 2003.

[7] T. Blackford, Cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ of even length, IEEE. Trans. Inform. Theory, 49, 6, 1417–1424, 2003.

[8] A. Bonnecaze, P. Solé, and A. R. Calderbank, Quaternary quadratic residue codes and unimodular lattices, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 41(2) 366–377 Mar. 1995.

[9] N. Bourbaki, Commutative Algebra, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1989.

[10] A.R. Calderbank and N.J.A. Sloane, *Modular and p-adic cyclic codes*, Designs, Codes, Cryptogr., 6, 1995, 21–35.

[11] M. Demazure, *Cours D'Algèbre: Primalité, Divisibilité, Codes*, Cassini, Paris, 1997.

[12] S.T. Dougherty, T.A. Gulliver and J.N.C. Wong, *Self-dual codes over* $\mathbb{Z}_8$ *and* $\mathbb{Z}_9$, Designs, Codes, Crypt., 41, 235–249, 2006.

[13] H. Dinh and S. R. López-Permouth, Cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings, IEEE Trans Inform Theory, 2004, **50**: 1728–1744

[14] S.T. Dougherty H. Liu, and Y.H. Park, *Lifted codes over chain rings*, Math. J. Okayama University 53, 39-53, Jan. 2010.

[15] S.T. Dougherty and H.-W. Liu, Cyclic codes over formal power series, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 31B(1): 331–343, 2011.

[16] M. Greferath and S.E. Shmidt, *Finite-ring combinatorics and Macwilliam's equivalence theorem*, J. Combin. Theory A, 17-28, Oct. 2000.

[17] S.T. Dougherty, M. Harada, and P. Solé, *Self-dual codes over rings and the Chinese remainder theorem*, Hokkaido Math Journal, vol. 28, 1999, 253–283.

[18] S. T. Dougherty and J. L. Kim, *Construction of self-dual codes over chain rings*, Int. J. Inform. and Coding Theory, vol. 1, no. 2, 171–190 2010.

[19] G. D. Forney, N. J. A. Sloane, and M. Trott, The Nordstrom-Robinson code is the binary image of the octacode, in Coding and Quantization: DIMACS/IEEE workshop 1992, ed. Calderbank et al, Amer Math Soc, 1993

[20] K. Guenda, *New MDS self-dual codes over finite fields*, Designs Codes, Crypt., vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 31–42, Jan. 2012.

[21] K. Guenda and T. A. Gulliver, *MDS and self-dual codes over rings*, Finite Fields and Their Applications, 2011.

[22] K. Guenda and T. Aaron Gulliver Self-dual repeated root cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite fields. ISIT 2012: 2904-2908.

[23] A. R. Hammons Jr., P. V. Kumar, A. R. Calderbank, N. J. A. Sloane, and P. Solé, *The* Z_4 *linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals and related codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **40**, 1994, 301–319.

[24] Y. Jia, S. Ling, and C. Xing, *On Self-dual cyclic codes over finite fields*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 57, no. 4, Apr. 2011.

[25] Y. Jia, S. Ling, and P. Solé, *On Isodual of cyclic codes over finite fields: Multiplier equivalence*, Preprint.

[26] Y. Jia, S. Ling, and P. Solé, *On Isodual of cyclic codes over finite fields: Scalar equivalence*, Preprint.

[27] B .R. McDonald, *Finite rings with identity, In Pure and Applied Mathematics"*, New-York Marcel Deker vol 28, 1974.

[28] F. J. MacWilliams,*Combinatorial Properties of Elementary Abelian Groups,* Ph.D. thesis, Radcliffe College, Cambridge, MA, 1962.

[29] C. Mihoubi, P. Solé, Optimal and Isodual Ternary Cyclic Codes of rate 1/2, Bull. Math. Sci. (2012) 2:343-357

[30] C. Mihoubi, Isodual Cyclic Codes of rate 1/2 over $GF(5)$, Bull.Int.J. Open Problemes Compt.Math.,Vol.4,December (2012)

[31] P. Kanwar and S. R. López-Permouth, *Cyclic codes over the integers modulo p^m*, Finite Fields Appl, 1997, **3**: 334–352.

[32] Philippe Langevin ,Duadic $\mathbb{Z}_4$-Codes, Finite Fields and Their Applications 6,309-326 (2000).

[33] J.S.Leon,J.M.Masley,and V.Pless,Duadic codes IEEE Trans.Inform. Theory IT-30 (1984),709-714.

[34] S. R. Lpez-Permouth and S. Szabo, Repeated root cyclic and negacyclic codes over Galois rings, Appl. Alg. Eng. Com. Comp., 2009: 219-222.

[35] P. Moree and P. solé, *Around the Pellikán's conjecture on very odd sequences* , Manuscripta Math. 117, 219–238, 2005.

[36] G. H. Norton and A. Sălăgean, *On the structure of linear and cyclic codes over a finite chain ring*, Appl. Algebra Engr. Comm. Comput., 2000, **10**: 489–506

[37] N. J. A. Sloane and J. G. Thompson *Cyclic self-dual codes*, IEEE. Trans. Inform. Theory, 29, 364–366, 1983.

[38] W. C. Huffman and V. Pless, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2003.

[39] E. Rains and N.J.A. Sloane, *Self-dual codes*, in Handbook of Coding Theory, V.S. Pless and W.C. Huffman, eds., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998, 177-294.

[40] S. Roman, *Coding and Information Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 134, Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[41] G. Skersys, *Calcul du group d'automorphismes des codes*, PhD Thesis, Laco, Limoges, 1999.

[42] S.Ling and P. Sol,Duadic Codes over $F_2 + uF_2$, Springer-Verlag, 2001.

[43] T. Honold and A. A. Necahev, *Weighted modules and representation of codes* Tech. Univ. Menchen, Fak. Math Report, Beitrage Zur Geometrie and Algebra, 36, 98.

[44] J. J. Rushanan, *Duadic codes and difference sets,* J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 57: 254-261, 1991.

[45] J. Wood, *Extension theorems for linear codes over finite rings in Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algortihms ans Error-Correcting codes*, Eds. T. Mora and H. Matson, Springer -Verlag, New-York, Berlin, 1997.